

Unidentified Judge Pros and Cons from Previous Entries

Pros

- Strong contender
- Huge issue
- Unbelievable life of project
- High in innovation
- Very relevant today
- Real engineering project
- Good job submittal
- Nice pictures
- Elegant response to need
- Not disruptive to community
- Responds to tremendous problem
- Appears to be new way to solve a common problem – new application
- Building block for future design
- Innovative
- Impressive
- Positive, proud to send on to national
- Quite an accomplishment
- Fine-tuned design effort
- Outstanding engineering
- Very unique – reducing square footage is unique!
- Very well written
- Could have significance with national situation – Why is there not something like this already?
- Solid, good piece of what we should be doing with technology
- Symbolizes engineering at its best!

Cons

- Didn't follow guidelines, i.e. not broken down, just narrative
- Cost is significantly less than what? No comparison to verify statement.
- Owner's letter kind of dry
- No credit to entire team – how much did entering firm actually contribute?
- What is this - Tough to read
- Nothing jumped out
- Good project, however not unique
- Building impressive, however not unique
- Nice project, however not unique
- Engineering good in meeting architectural design, however doesn't make Georgia exceptional

- Problem with presentation of entry – great idea, but what did they do. Presentation needed improvement.
- Maybe a winning entry if presented better
- What does this have to do with engineering?
- Slick presentation – IMPRESSED, but don't know why!
- Overstated in regards to engineering role
- Not a lot of merit to deserve finalist award
- Not innovative solution, but socially redeemable
- Client endorsement rather lukewarm

Judge Comments for Future Entries:

Be clear about addressing criteria

Dig for sustainability

Include range for budget – make sure it is presented to clarify estimated budget vs. actual budget

Focus on engineering, rather than cost schedules, programming, controlling budget, construction management, etc.

The main focus should be your part of the engineering design, i.e. if your role was an innovative structural beam system, don't entirely highlight the architectural design.